Large House Study Review Committee Questionnaire Results Relative Support for Committee Proposals by Length of Residency

The table below summarizes how the length of residency affected resident responses to the zoning options presented in the Questionnaire with the following results:

- Only one (1) very long-term resident, living in town for 30 years or more, opposed any changes
 to local zoning to restrict house size while ten (10) of these residents suggested that the options
 did not go far enough to regulate teardown/replacement activity, representing half of the
 respondents in this category.
- Of those 32 respondents who have lived in town for 15 years or more, three (3) supported some of the options, another nine (9) were in general agreement with the changes included in the survey, and 19 wanted to see stronger regulatory restrictions.
- Those living in town for less than 10 years were more evenly divided in their responses, from two (2) without support, another two (2) for some of the options, three (3) for most of the options, and four (4) suggesting the need for greater restrictions.
- A total of 39 or 78% of the 50 total respondents indicated their support for most of the options or wanted more restrictive regulations, 28 or 72% living in town for more than 15 years.

Time Period	No support	Support for some options	Support for most options	Options do not go far enough	Total
Less than 5 years	2	2	1	1	6
5 to 9.9 years			2	3	5
10 to 14.9 years	1	2	1	3	7
15 to 19.9 years				2	2
20 to 24.9 years		1		3	4
25 to 29.9 years			2	4	6
30 years or more	1	2	7	10	20
Total	4	7	13	26	50

As this Questionnaire was not issued in a random, scientific manner and resulted in only 50 responses, it cannot be assumed that this result reflects general community perspectives. Nevertheless, it can reasonably be presumed that those who have lived in town longer have witnessed first-hand the evolving changes on their streets and neighborhoods due to teardown/replacement activity and thus may be more sensitive to impacts on abutters and more supportive of restrictions.