

1	
1	Large House Review (LHR) Committee Meeting Minutes
2	
3	Monday, July 21, 2025
4	<u>7:00 p.m.</u>
5	Committee Manham Dansont
6	Committee Members Present:  Artic Creeken Planning Poord Member / Planning Poord Posignes (Co. Chair)
7	Artie Crocker Planning Board Member / Planning Board Designee (Co-Chair)
8 9	Bill Paulson Real Estate Broker appointed by the Planning Board Oscar Mertz Architect appointed by the Planning Board
10	Joe Matthews At Large appointed by the Planning Board
11	Jeanne McKnight Planning Board Member / Planning Board Designee
12	Moe Handel Design Review Board Member / Design Review Board Designee (Co-Chair)
13	Rob Dangel At Large appointed by the Planning Board
14	Marianne Cooley Select Board Member / Select Board Designee
15	Heidi Frail Select Board Member / Select Board Designee
16	Ed Quinlan At Large appointed by the Planning Board
17	
18	Staff Present:
19	Lee Newman, Director of Planning & Community Development
20	Alex Clee, Assistant Planner
21	
22	Committee Members Absent:
23	Nik Ligris Zoning Board of Appeals Member / Zoning Board of Appeals Designee
24	Tina Burgos Finance Committee Member / Finance Committee Designee
25	Paul McGovern Developer appointed by the Planning Board
26	Chris Cotter At Large appointed by the Planning Board
27	1 W-1
28	1. Welcome and Introductions
29 30	Introductions of Committee members present were made
30 31	Introductions of Committee members present were made.
32	2. Presentation Oscar Mertz
33	2. Tresentation Oscar Wertz
34	Oscar Mertz explained that the Committee saw its role as reviewing the impact from the 2017
35	bylaw and which trends have been occurring in Needham. The Committee also looked at similar
36	towns regarding their rules and processes. Needham does not currently have any processes
37	around large house review, and these are allowed by-right. The Committee considered an
38	analysis of how to adjust some of the dimensional controls currently being used in order to adjust
39	the size of houses. The Committee discussed wanting to determine an appropriately sized house
40	for the size of a lot. The Committee reviewed 32 nonconforming and conforming lots in terms of
41	the range of sizes on the lots. All of the homes reviewed seemed to be maximizing on the floor
42	area ratio (FAR, with a 0.38 FAR for any lot under 12,000 s.f. and a 0.36 FAR for lots over
43	12,000 s.f. The Committee discussed a basic program with assumptions around what houses
44	should have as a minimum target. Many of the houses sampled often exceeded those basic
45	programs. The Committee reviewed which houses it felt were too big for the lots with many

conversations that height seemed often to trigger this. The Committee discussed what impacts there could be from reducing the allowable height, which is currently 35'. The Committee discussed some other potential adjustments to the dimensional controls for a house on a given lot such as that the FAR definition may need to include the first floor, second floor, attic, and garage. The controls could provide for less than a 600 s.f. allowance of a garage. The question of including basements was discussed by the Committee but the thought was that basements are not often part of the visible structure of a house. A 50% exposed basement could trigger including the basement in the FAR; or if any basement is included in FAR it could be pro-rated based on the percent exposed. At the moment, most of the Committee feels that the FAR calculation should include the first floor, second floor, attic, and the garage. The Committee also discussed property setbacks. Side setbacks could be considered as an additional dimensional control without having many negative impacts. The Committee considered coordination with the Town's tree bylaws and stormwater bylaw. There may be a consideration for larger setbacks in historic districts. There was agreement on the Committee not to legislate anything that has to do with the design of a house, such as the aesthetic appeal. The Committee also discussed preservation of trees around the perimeter of lots. The Committee discussed the redefinition of FAR and potentially setting a baseline or maximum and then allowing a range compared to the actual lot size.

## 3. Conversation / Listening session with Builders. Moe Handel

Moe Handel explained that this is a study Committee which will bring recommendations to the Planning Board. This purpose of tonight is to engage with the development community around the issues just presented on.

Tripp Pace, 99 Evelyn Road, asked if anyone on the Committee is a practiced surveyor in terms of discussing potential height restrictions for houses. Most plans are currently under 32' in height. The Committee noted that the houses reviewed were in the 32'-34' range with some coming close to the 35' height. Mr. Pace stated that he does not believe garages should be included in the FAR calculation, though the maximum could be decreased from 600 s.f. to 500 s.f. instead. This would make the look from the street more aesthetically pleasing without removing potential living space for buyers. Bill Paulson stated that, if the garage is included in the FAR, the builder would have more flexibility overall. Mr. Pace agreed that this could be a possibility.

There was discussion regarding lots being raised due to the basement areas. Nick Tatar, 14 Standish Road, stated that there are three properties in his neighborhood that have clearly been raised or mounded. Garrett Federow, Federow Development, stated that there are areas in Town that have a lot of ledge, and it may be necessary to raise the lot to bring the houses out of the water table. This can lead to issues with the pitches of the roof. He stated that change is inevitable and there should only be so much regulation on people's properties. He has been through the Total Living Area plus Garage Space (TLAG) process in Wellesley and there is a 35' rule to show the setbacks from nearby houses. Combining the regulations with the new stretch code items can make building more expensive overall.

The Committee asked if developers are generally building smaller houses in Wellesley than in Needham. Lou Wolfson stated that larger homes become more valuable over time than smaller homes. People need extra space for the mixture of family dynamics. Much of Needham was previously filled in order to bring them out of the water table. Builders include perimeter drains and sump pumps to help with these issues. Attics do not add to the bulk of a home. Larger, more stately homes in surrounding towns have finished third floors. In Wellesley, many of the homes are moving toward truss designs in order to accommodate the regulations. He expressed concern regarding the potential changes to the side setbacks.

The Committee stated that there was a sentiment expressed in the survey results that some of the houses being built in Town are too large for the lots and do not fit into the neighborhood.

Juan Wolf, builder, stated that there are already constraints due to the updated energy code. Adding additional constraints, as is being discussed, will make it more difficult to build in Town. There are not only market-driven conditions, but also family situations have changed. Most builders will take the path to tear down and rebuild as a way to maximize profits on a lot. Starting with a smaller lot and a more affordable house leads to less space to make any profit. He asked how the efforts of this Committee may interact with the efforts toward ADUs. Artie Crocker explained that ADUs will fit within the existing lot requirements. These will not increase the allowances on the lot. The Committee discussed that smaller lot sizes could lead to less opportunity for ADUs and this should be considered. Not all lots are created equally.

Rob Dangel stated that Wellesley noted that there was a noticeable drop in tear downs and rebuilds once they began their large house regulations. This then picked up after some time. Wellesley stated that they did not see a large impact on home values. He asked what these changes could have on the prices for smaller lots. Mr. Olson stated that prices will likely only be impacted for a short period of time because demand will increase the values along with the cost of construction. Larger homes will end up costing more.

Kevin Griffin, Griffin Building & Development, stated that in Wellesley smaller homes are still being sold at the same price point as the larger homes. This could lead to people migrating to other towns. Needham has better zoning laws in terms of its setbacks which sets it apart. He expressed concern that the proposed changes could increase the price of smaller and larger homes in Town. Many builders may pause their work to see if the market supports the proposed changes, if they go into effect.

 The Committee noted that houses in Wellesley that do not go through the TLAG process tend to be significantly smaller than the houses in Needham and sell at a premium. Mr. Griffin agreed. He stated that he believes Needham will see a drop in prices for tear downs because builders will not want to gamble to see if the market will support the change in prices. Artic Crocker asked what kind of change could be proposed regarding the bulk of a house that would not have a negative impact on builders.

Mr. Tatar stated that he sees multigenerational living occurring near him in smaller capes that have had small additions or renovations made to them. He also knows of people who have

purchased large houses because that it all that was available. He asked that the Committee take care in describing what sorts of families purchase each type of home. This is not a simple issue.

Mr. Federow stated that most of his customers use the current FAR ratios as closely as possible, but this is not always the case. He has had customers who did not build out their lot but still received complaints from neighbors. It is impossible to make everyone happy.

Mike Niden, 178 South Street, stated that he does not see the demand for housing in Town changing. He stated that he does not see the less than 10,000 s.f. being effected by the proposed changes. There are people who want to move into Town and are willing to spend the money to do so. The modifications may impact things in the short-term but likely will not in the long-term. He asked about the Wellesley process. Mr. Federow stated that the Wellesley zoning board process was quick in terms of a requested variance. This had a lot to do with their recent zoning changes. The large house review is a long process of approximately 2-3 months, including many requirements. Rob Dangel stated that the infrastructure Wellsley has for manpower is more than Needham currently has. The Town would need new processes to allow for flexibility to accommodate the changes.

Diane Hughes Valente, real estate agent, stated that she has heard about families where some members are working at home and need the extra bedrooms as office spaces. Also, some insurance companies will not cover certain properties if there are large trees that are not being removed. She stated that those who are buying large houses love them. She expressed concern regarding elderly people who make money on houses that have not been kept up well and this opportunity being removed for them. If the houses are made smaller, they will cost more per square foot. People will then buy in other towns. She stated that limiting house sizes will be a detriment to most people and will fly in the face of ADUs. Artie Crocker stated that people find a way to make alternative spaces, such as in a basement, work for home offices.

Mr. Tatar stated that a 14'-16' side setback change would likely not change the types of trees that could be planted between houses. There may not be space for replanting trees in the front or back of lots with the proposed changes. This would likely lead to smaller plantings.

Mr. Niden stated that the Committee could consider some changes at the Planning Board level in order to match the way Wellesley is doing things.

Joe Matthews stated that there seems to be a lot of third floor space built and the 600 s.f. garages because they do not count toward the FAR. If this did count toward the FAR it is unclear if owners would prefer this space in a different area of the house, leading to a wider house instead of a taller house. In terms of the height impact, the intention is to reduce the height while allowing for a limit that allows for three stories.

The Committee explained that its work would continue through the summer and there would be public hearings likely in the fall based on potential recommendations. These would then be brought to the Planning Board which would have its own review and public hearing process. The Committee continues to seek public input and would like to hear from anyone who has an interest in this matter.

interest in this matter

4. Approval of meeting minutes. Artie Crocker Upon motion duly made by Moe Handel and seconded by Oscar Mertz, it was voted to approve the meeting minutes of June 23, 2025, as amended. By roll call, the motion passed unanimously. A builder requested that the Committee review 59 Henderson Street and 345 Central Avenue in terms of their size and the way they blend into the neighborhoods. 5. Update on 3D Modeling. Oscar Mertz Oscar Mertz stated that the modeling has begun with the consultant. A first round of proposed reductions on the smallest house will be presented at the Committee's next meeting. This process should be completed by the end of August. 6. Update on Fiscal Analysis RFP. Lee Newman Lee Newman stated that the scopes of work for the Value Analysis and Fiscal Impact are complete. The RFP will likely go out on Friday, with responses back in two weeks. This will then coordinate with the modeling information. Upon motion duly made by Moe Handel and seconded by Oscar Mertz, it was voted to adjourn at 9:02 p.m. By roll call, the motion passed unanimously. Respectfully submitted, Kristan Patenaude