

1	
1	Large House Deview (LHD) Committee Meeting Minutes
2	Large House Review (LHR) Committee Meeting Minutes  Monday, June 2, 2025
3	Monday, June 2, 2025
4	<u>7:00 p.m.</u>
5	Committee Mondous Duesconte
6 7	Committee Members Present: Artie Crocker Planning Board Member / Planning Board Designee (Co-Chair)
8	Bill Paulson Real Estate Broker appointed by the Planning Board
9	Paul McGovern Developer appointed by the Planning Board
10	Oscar Mertz Architect appointed by the Planning Board
11	Joe Matthews At Large appointed by the Planning Board
12	Ed Quinlan At Large appointed by the Planning Board
13	Jeanne McKnight Planning Board Member / Planning Board Designee
14	Heidi Frail Select Board Member / Select Board Designee
15	Tina Burgos Finance Committee Member / Finance Committee Designee
16	Chris Cotter At Large appointed by the Planning Board
17	Moe Handel Design Review Board Member / Design Review Board Designee (Co-Chair)
18	Rob Dangel At Large appointed by the Planning Board
19	C. 40 D
20	Staff Present:
21	Lee Newman, Director of Planning & Community Development
22 23	Alex Clee, Assistant Planner
23 24	Committee Members Absent:
25	Nik Ligris Zoning Board of Appeals Member / Zoning Board of Appeals Designee
26	Marianne Cooley Select Board Member / Select Board Designee
27	1. In the state of
28	1. Approval of meeting minutes.
29	
30	Upon motion duly made by Heidi Frail and seconded by Oscar Mertz, it was voted to approve
31	the meeting minutes of March 31, 2025; April 7, 2025; and May 21, 2025, as amended. By roll
32	call, the motion passed unanimously.
33	
34	2. Review and Finalize draft agenda for June 9 community meeting and discuss breakout group
35	questions.
36	The construction of the managed basely are to the Lynn Oth meeting Dill Devilson
37 38	The group discussed the proposed breakout groups for the June 9 <sup>th</sup> meeting. Bill Paulson suggested that the questions be written to be more open-ended and less leading. It was noted that
39	the questions are only meant to initiate discussion.
40	the questions are only meant to initiate discussion.
41	The group discussed how the groups would be led both in-person and via Zoom. It was noted
12	that people will be able to self-select their groups, with a certain number of people included in
13	each.
1/1	

The group reviewed the prompts for each group and made changes as needed.

3. Review and Finalize survey questions.

49 The groun

The group reviewed the survey questions and made certain changes. There was discussion regarding how to best obtain demographics in the survey, including age and how long people have lived in Needham. The group considered a question regarding if those attending are Needham residents. Some group members mentioned that they would like the meeting to only include Needham residents. However, it was noted that those outside Needham may also have important input, and that the community meeting could not exclude them. All of those who speak during the meeting should identify themselves and give an address.

Jeanne McKnight suggested an additional question regarding what changes occur in a neighborhood that has dealt with tear downs and if this is an issue for residents. She expressed concern with front facing garages. It was noted that a question for each architectural feature could not be included, but concerns could be raised during public comment. Paul McGovern stated that he does not believe this Committee should focus or dictate any particular design. He stated that some tear downs include a rebuilt structure that is appropriate for the Town. The Committee should focus on large houses, but not necessarily tear downs.

The survey will be initialized on Friday and will likely close after a week.

## 4. Review of Revised Schedule

 It was noted that the second public input session would be held September 15<sup>th</sup>. The Committee's next meeting is June 23<sup>rd</sup>. During that meeting the consultant will be announced, the group can debrief from the public input session and hear the results from the survey. The group should also discuss how it would like to measure "bulk" moving forward.

It was noted that the Committee needs to have a discussion regarding if it would like for relaxation on non-conforming lots to continue for certain programs.

Upon motion duly made by Heidi Frail and seconded by Oscar Mertz, it was voted to adjourn at 8:33 p.m. By roll call, the motion passed unanimously.

- 80 Respectfully submitted,
- 81 Kristan Patenaude