



January 29, 2010

Mr. Daniel Caruso, Chairman
Connecticut Siting Council
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

RE: Docket 292: Post-construction EMF Monitoring Report

Dear Chairman Caruso:

The Connecticut Light and Power Company hereby files the enclosed original and six (6) copies of the Post-construction EMF Monitoring Report for the Glenbrook Cables Project.

If you need any further information, please call me at 860-665-2365.

Sincerely,

Mark A. Smith
Glenbrook Cables Project Manager

Attachment

cc: Fred Cunliffe – Supervisory Siting Analyst, Connecticut Siting Council
Service List (w/o attachment)





POST-CONSTRUCTION EMF MONITORING REPORT FOR THE GLENBROOK CABLES TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECT

January 29, 2010

Measurements and Report By:

Exponent, Inc.
420 Lexington Ave., Suite 1740
New York, NY 10170



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	<u>Introduction</u>	1
2.0	<u>Sources of Electric and Magnetic Fields</u>	1
3.0	<u>Duct-bank configuration</u>	2
4.0	<u>Methods</u>	5
5.0	<u>Measurement Locations</u>	6
6.0	<u>Post-Construction EMF Measurements</u>	7
6.1	<u>Magnetic-field Measurements at Monitoring Locations</u>	7
6.1.2	<u>Spot Measurements</u>	8
6.2	<u>Magnetic-field Measurements at Splice Vaults</u>	8
6.3	<u>Magnetic-field Measurements along Underground Route</u>	9
6.4	<u>Substation Measurements</u>	10
7.0	<u>Discussion</u>	11
8.0	<u>Conclusion</u>	14

APPENDICES

A.	Council-Approved EMF Monitoring Plan
B.	Map and Post-Construction Aerial Photographs of Monitoring Locations
C.	Calculated and Measured Magnetic Fields at the Monitoring Locations
D.	Measured Magnetic Field Levels along the Underground Cable Route
E.	Measured EMF Levels at the Norwalk Substation and Glenbrook Substation
F.	References

1.0 Introduction

Connecticut Light & Power (CL&P) has constructed 8.7 miles of new 115-kV underground transmission cables between the Glenbrook and Norwalk Substations pursuant to the Connecticut Siting Council's (Council) approvals of Development and Management Plans on September 5, 2006 and September 29, 2006.¹ In addition, CL&P has modified terminal buswork in the Glenbrook and Norwalk Substations in accordance with Development and Management Plan approvals granted on December 14, 2006 and January 19, 2007.²

The Council's Development and Management Plan approvals include a condition that "CL&P shall provide the Council a post-construction magnetic field monitoring plan, for approval, prior to the commencement of operation." An EMF Monitoring Plan, which addressed electric and magnetic fields along the project route and at the substation perimeters, was submitted to the Council on May 5, 2008 and is attached as Appendix A. At a public meeting held on June 5, 2008, the Council considered and approved the EMF Monitoring Plan "with the recommendation that the final report include conductor size, line loading, an aerial photograph (1 inch equals 100 feet) identifying locations of existing electric lines and marking measurement locations and comparison with predicted values."

This report summarizes the measurements of electric and magnetic fields (EMF) associated with the Glenbrook Cable transmission facilities as described in the EMF Monitoring Plan. The purpose of these measurements is to confirm pre-construction estimates of field levels, which were based on measurements and calculations. Additionally, magnetic fields at 10 of the sites in the EMF Monitoring Plan were re-calculated using as-built duct configurations and actual current flows at the time of the post-construction measurements at these 10 sites. In section 7.0 of this report, the post-construction measurements at these ten (10) sites are compared with the magnetic field values calculated both before construction (using a nominal duct-bank configuration and loading) and after construction (using as-built duct-bank configurations and actual currents).

2.0 Sources of Electric and Magnetic Fields

Electric fields are the result of voltages applied to electrical conductors and equipment. Since utility installations are designed to operate at a specific voltage, electric fields from utility sources are stable over time. In addition to the Glenbrook transmission line and the equipment added to substations, there are additional sources of power-frequency fields along the project route including electric distribution and transmission conductors not associated with the project. Most conductive materials – including fences, shrubbery, buildings, soil, and the metallic cladding of the underground conductors – block electric fields. For this reason, the underground 115-kV lines constructed as part of the project are not a source of electric fields above ground.

¹ Docket No. 292: September 5, 2006 approval of Segment 1 of the Project Development and Management (D&M) Plan and September 29, 2006 approval of Segment 2 of the Project D&M Plan.

² Docket No. 292: December 14, 2006 approval of the D&M Plan for modifications to the Norwalk Substation and January 19, 2007 approval of the D&M Plan for modifications to the Glenbrook Substation.

Sources of power-frequency electric fields associated with the project include cable risers and buswork at the Glenbrook Substation and the Norwalk Substation. Section 6.4 summarizes measurements in the vicinity of cable risers to show how electric fields outside the substation perimeters are affected by the new construction.

Magnetic fields are produced by the flow of electric currents and therefore vary over time as the demand for electric power fluctuates. Unlike electric fields, most materials do not readily block magnetic fields. The level of the magnetic field at any point depends on characteristics of the source, including the arrangement of conductors, the amount of current flow through the source, and its distance from the point of measurement. As for electric fields, the intensity of magnetic fields diminishes with increasing distance from the source.

Sources of magnetic fields not associated with the project include transmission and distribution lines and currents flowing on other conductors of electricity, such as communication cables and water pipes. The major sources of power-frequency magnetic fields associated with the project are the cable system beneath streets and equipment within the associated substations. Section 6.0 summarizes measurements for these project-related sources, including:

- (1) Measurements of magnetic fields at monitoring locations per the EMF Monitoring Plan;
- (2) Longitudinal measurements of magnetic fields along the route of the underground 115-kV cables;
- (3) Measurements of the magnetic field in an area over representative splice vaults along the project route; and
- (4) Magnetic field measurements around the perimeters of the Glenbrook and Norwalk Substations.

It is important to remember that measurements of the magnetic field present a snapshot of the conditions at a point in time. Within a day, or over the course of months, and even seasons, the magnetic field can change depending upon the amount and the patterns of power demand and the generation dispatch within the surrounding region. Moreover, measurements at any specific location include the contribution from numerous, time-varying sources that are not associated with the project.

3.0 Duct-bank configuration

In support of CL&P's 2004 Application to the Council, Power Delivery Consultants (PDC) calculated the magnetic fields for the conceptual design of the 115-kV underground cable system depicted in Figure 1. In the conceptual design, the cables are installed in concrete-encased 8-inch ducts with 11.9-inch spacings, center to center. A spare set of ducts was included for potential future use. At the minimum duct-bank burial depth, the 115-kV conductors are located 43 inches below grade. PDC modeled the effects of ground continuity conductors (GCCs) 9 inches directly above the 115-kV phase conductors.




Figure 1. Conceptual duct-bank design from CL&P's application to the Council.

As built, the 115-kV duct bank differs from the conceptual design in conduit spacing, burial depth, and GCC position. The vertical double-circuit arrangement of phase conductors depicted in Figure 1, moreover, was altered in isolated sections to avoid existing utilities.

Figure 2 depicts two typical as-built duct-bank sections with a vertical double-circuit arrangement of phase conductors. The conduit spacing varies between 12 and 15 inches, and at the minimum duct-bank burial depth of 3 feet, the top 115-kV conductors are located approximately 44 inches or more below grade. In the majority of locations, the GCC ducts abut the 115-kV phase ducts, and are offset horizontally from the phase-duct centerlines. This case is depicted on the right side of Figure 2. In some locations, such as Brookside Drive in Darien, the GCC ducts are located 12 inches above the 115-kV phase ducts, center to center. This case is depicted on the right side of Figure 2.




Figure 2. Two as-built duct-bank sections with a vertical double-circuit arrangement of phase conductors (not to scale).

Figure 3 depicts a duct-bank section altered to accommodate existing utilities in isolated sections of the project route. The vertical double-circuit arrangement of phase conductors depicted in Figure 1 was replaced with a broader 2×5 arrangement of ducts in these sections. The configuration of Figure 3 was constructed north of the Glenbrook Substation along parts of Hamilton Avenue, where existing underground electric utilities prevented construction of the nominal 3×3 design.




Figure 3. An as-built duct-bank section with a 2×5 arrangement of ducts designed to pass beneath existing utilities.

4.0 Methods

Magnetic field measurements were recorded at a height of one meter (3.28 feet) above ground in accordance with standard methods for measuring near power lines (IEEE Std. 644-1994a). Both electric and magnetic fields were expressed as the total field computed as the resultant of field vectors measured along vertical, transverse, and longitudinal axes.³ The magnetic field was measured in units of milligauss (mG) by orthogonally mounted sensing coils whose output was recorded by a digital meter (EMDEX II) manufactured by Enertech Consultants. Electric fields during operation were measured in units of kilovolts per meter (kV/m) with a single-axis sensor accessory for the Emdex II meter. Before operation commenced, electric fields were measured in units of kV/m with a single-axis electric field meter manufactured by Electric Field

³ Measurements along the vertical, transverse, and longitudinal axes were recorded as root-mean-square (rms) magnitudes. RMS refers to the common mathematical method of defining the effective voltage, current, or field of an alternating current (AC) system.

Measurements. These instruments meet the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) instrumentation standard for obtaining accurate field measurements at power line frequencies (IEEE Std.1308-1994b). The meter and the electric field probe were calibrated by the manufacturer by methods like those described in IEEE Std. 644-1994a.

CL&P reported power flows and voltage on the cables at the time of electric and magnetic field measurements. Exponent used these monitored conditions to assess the stability of readings, and to include in calculations of site-specific magnetic fields based on measured loadings. Site-specific magnetic field levels were calculated using computer algorithms developed by the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA, 1991). The inputs to the BPA program include data regarding voltage, current flow, circuit phasing, and conductor configurations. The resultant magnetic fields associated with a particular loading are then calculated along transects perpendicular to the duct-bank centerline. These profiles describe the magnetic field produced by the underground cables with a uniform duct-bank cross-section⁴ and balanced three-phase currents.

The 115-kV underground transmission circuit was installed with six (6) XLPE cables, each with a 3500-kcmil copper conductor. Above the 8-inch diameter phase ducts containing the XLPE cables, two 400-kcmil GCCs were installed in separate 2-inch ducts. The spacing of the phase ducts and GCC ducts varies along the project route, and is depicted at each measurement location with a cross-section in Appendix C. The effects of the GCCs were included in the calculations, with induced currents calculated using the ENVIRO program using algorithms developed at the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) Power Delivery Center.

Magnetic field measurements along the project route were also recorded at 3-second intervals along lane centerlines in the roadway for all portions of the route (1) between Glenbrook Substation and Brookside Drive at the I-95 Service Area, and (2) between Norwalk Substation and the intersection of Ledge Road and Noroton Avenue. These longitudinal measurements are representative of the magnetic fields along the duct bank, but in some locations are parallel and adjacent to the duct bank. Longitudinal magnetic field measurements were collected by the EMDEX II meter as time-series data, and resolved to position using a synchronized GPS recorder.

5.0 Measurement Locations

Appendix B presents a map of the project route indicating the 16 monitoring locations previously identified in the EMF Monitoring Plan. Aerial photographs of the monitoring sites are also included in Appendix B.

The route extends north and then east from the Glenbrook Substation. In Stamford, the proposed route aligns with Hamilton Avenue and Brookside Drive before crossing the Noroton River into Darien. In Darien, the route continues east under an access road behind a Connecticut Department of Transportation service area for I-95, and then under an access road

⁴ A “uniform cross-section” means that the BPA algorithms model the underground cables at a uniform burial depth below grade.

between the Noroton Heights railroad station and I-95. The route continues east-northeast along Ledge Road before joining U.S. Route 1 (Boston Post Road) and proceeds north-northeast for about 900 feet.

The route then diverges from U.S. Route 1, first traversing south under Corbin Drive and then turning east–northeast along Old Kings Highway before crossing beneath the Metro-North/Amtrak Railroad. On the east side of the railroad corridor, the route crosses under parking areas and Sedgewick Avenue before reconnecting to U.S. Route 1.

The route continues north-northeast along U.S. Route 1 through Darien and into Norwalk. In Norwalk, the route traverses east-northeast under U.S. Route 1 (Connecticut Avenue and then Van Buren Avenue), before continuing north under Riverside Avenue. From Riverside Avenue, the route then crosses beneath the Norwalk River to the Norwalk Substation.

Along this route, CL&P chose monitoring locations representative of the underground portions of the route at different burial depths and duct spacings. The monitoring sites include representative cross sections of the underground duct bank in the City of Stamford, Town of Darien, and City of Norwalk. CL&P chose at least two readily accessible measurement sites for magnetic field cross sections in each of these municipalities traversed by the line.

CT Public Act 04-246 identifies “statutory facilities” as “residential areas, private or public schools, licensed child daycare facilities, licensed youth camps, or public playgrounds” that are “adjacent” to the proposed facility. During the Council’s proceedings for the project, CL&P identified numerous statutory facilities along the project route and its alternatives. CL&P reviewed the list of statutory facilities identified during the Council proceedings, and chose those facilities closest to the route under construction for site-specific measurements. The EMF Monitoring Plan in Appendix A includes the designation and type of listed facilities selected for monitoring.

To the extent possible, CL&P chose measurement locations where: (1) the terrain is relatively flat and bare of vegetation; (2) conductor configurations and burial depths are typical and representative; and (3) few if any confounding sources, such as distribution lines, exist.

6.0 Post-Construction EMF Measurements

6.1 Magnetic Field Measurements at Monitoring Locations

Project-related magnetic fields were measured during operation on December 10, 2008 and February 11, 2009, when the underground circuits were carrying a combined load between 100 and 180 MVA. The actual loads on December 10, 2008 and February 11, 2009 were recorded during the measurement period by CL&P, and are within $\pm 35\%$ of the 134 MVA (average-load) case from the assessment made in CL&P’s Application.

6.1.1 Cross-section Measurements

The magnetic field of the underground cables was monitored at 16 locations along the route. Appendix C depicts the measurements along profiles perpendicular to the centerline of the

underground duct bank at 14 of the monitoring locations. At the remaining 2 sites, spot measurements were performed and the results are summarized below. Calculated magnetic fields presented in Appendix C are based on site-specific conditions, including input data related to the conductor burial depth and current flow on the lines at the time each measurement was made. Where a uniform cross-section could be modeled for purposes of the magnetic field calculation, the cross-section dimensions are depicted in Appendix C.

6.1.2 Spot Measurements

At two of the monitoring locations in Appendix C – Location F (8 Old Kings Highway in Darien) and Location N (11 Spring Hill Avenue in Norwalk) – the magnetic field was reported as a spot reading rather than as a profile because these monitoring sites were more than 200 feet from the project route. Both locations are at a distance from the duct bank for which project-related sources of magnetic fields cannot be modeled accurately with a uniform cross-section. Based upon measurements in areas adjoining the project route with few extraneous sources,⁵ project-related magnetic fields at these monitoring locations are below 1.0 mG, a value comparable to or below background levels in residential and commercial areas.

6.2 Magnetic Field Measurements at Splice Vaults

In the vicinity of splice vaults, the underground 115-kV cables are further apart than within the duct bank. CL&P measured the magnetic fields above ground associated with this increased cable separation. Measurements in Appendix C at Location J (the Wal-Mart parking lot at 680 Connecticut Ave., Norwalk) and Location K (Jerry's Upholstery, Inc. at 67 Connecticut Avenue, Norwalk) characterize the magnetic fields encountered along a transect across splice vaults. Figure 4 presents a comparison of the measured magnetic fields above splice vaults at location J (the Wal-Mart parking lot at 680 Connecticut Ave., Norwalk) and above a typical trench section at Location G (parking lot near Mechanic Street in Darien). The profiles in Figure 4, which were measured for similar burial depths, show that magnetic fields above splice vaults are approximately 2.5 times higher than above trench sections, but can approach comparable levels at a distance of 25 feet from the cables.

⁵ Cf., Monitoring Location G, a parking lot in the vicinity of Mechanic St. in Darien, which is offset approximately 100 feet from Location F, at which a spot measurement was recorded. The readings at Location G are below 0.7 mG at a distance of approximately 200 feet from the duct-bank centerline. Calculated magnetic fields at average loading (for the minimum burial depth at location G) are below 0.7 mG at distances greater than 100 feet from the duct-bank centerline.




Figure 4. Comparison of measured magnetic fields above splice vaults at Location J (the Wal-Mart parking lot at 680 Connecticut Ave., Norwalk) and above a typical trench section at Location G (parking lot near Mechanic Street in Darien). The burial depth of the splice vaults and the duct-bank in both cases is 4½ feet.

6.3 Magnetic Field Measurements along Underground Route

Longitudinal magnetic field measurements were recorded along the project route between the Glenbrook Substation and the Norwalk Substation before and after construction. Appendix D presents the route and recorded magnetic field values along lane centerlines in the roadway for all portions of the route (1) between Glenbrook Substation and Brookside Drive at the I-95 Service Area; (2) between the intersection of Ledge Road and Noroton Avenue and the west side of the Metro-North/Amtrak Railroad; and (3) between the east side of the Metro-North/Amtrak Railroad and Norwalk Substation. These longitudinal measurements are representative of the magnetic fields measured over the duct bank, but in some locations the conditions dictated that readings be recorded parallel and adjacent to the duct bank. For instance, where the duct bank crosses curbs and lanes of U.S. Route 1, the measurement vehicle remained in the center of lanes to record magnetic fields safely in traffic.

The maximum, average, and median magnetic field levels encountered are listed in Table 1 below, and compared with pre-construction readings. The 5th and 95th percentile columns indicate the magnetic field values that were exceeded 95% and 5% of the time, respectively, along the project route.

Table 1 Summary of magnetic field levels (mG) measured along project route

	5 th percentile	Median	Mean	95 th percentile	maximum
Preconstruction, for 2004 filing	0.4	4.1	2.9	12.2	49.0
Preconstruction, April 2008	0.3	2.2	2.9	8.83	31.3
Post-construction, Dec. 2008	2.1	6.6	7.8	17.9	39.7

6.4 Substation Measurements

Electric and magnetic fields were measured outside the perimeter fences of the Glenbrook Substation and the Norwalk Substation once before and once after the 115-kV underground lines were energized. Appendix E depicts the path of perimeter measurements on aerial photographs. Note that measurements for the Glenbrook Substation do not include magnetic field readings along the western perimeter, since this fence line is not accessible to the public.

In the pre-construction measurements, the 115-kV underground lines were not in service but other lines connecting to the substations were in service. Energized lines not associated with the project contributed to the magnetic fields on the north side of the Glenbrook Substation, and on the north, east, and west sides of the Norwalk Substation. The elevated post-construction readings on the east side of the Norwalk Substation, for instance, are due in part to the Norwalk to Singer 345-kV lines, which were energized between the dates of the pre-construction and post-construction readings at the Norwalk Substation.

Electric-field measurements at the Norwalk Substation and Glenbrook Substation were recorded outside the substation perimeters in the vicinity of new cable risers and buswork. The locations of these measurements are depicted in the aerial photographs in Appendix E. Electric fields both perpendicular and parallel to the substation perimeter were recorded, noting distance from the fence-line reference point.

The results of substation measurements indicate what is commonly observed in EMF measurements along a substation perimeter, namely, that the highest magnetic field levels occur where transmission and distribution lines cross over or under the facility's fence line. Along the perimeter of the Norwalk Substation, for instance, the highest MF readings were recorded on the north and east sides of the substation, remote from the project-related 115-kV underground cables crossing on the western perimeter.

Where the bicycle path on the west side of the Norwalk Substation crosses over the underground lines, magnetic field readings along the path were approximately 20 mG higher than pre-energized readings. This region of higher fields extends for approximately 40 feet along the bicycle path. Where the sidewalk on the north side of the Glenbrook Substation crosses over the underground lines, magnetic field readings along the sidewalk were again approximately 20 mG above the pre-energized readings.

Electric-field readings along the bike path on the west side of the Norwalk Substation show that the installed cable risers and buswork did not appreciably alter the existing levels of electric fields on the path. Along the path closer to the new construction, electric fields continue to be highest beneath the overhead lines crossing over the substation perimeter.

7.0 Discussion

The primary purpose of this post-construction monitoring report is to confirm that the levels of fields associated with the operation of the new Glenbrook Cables transmission lines are consistent with expectations based upon the Application to the Council. Where the “as built” design is most similar to the conceptual design (e.g., at location D, Brookside Drive), the post-construction measurements are similar to those projected when adjusted for load level and burial depth. At other locations, the as-built duct spacing and the placement of the GCCs was adjusted by several inches, which resulted in magnetic field profiles different, and in some cases lower, than the prototypical conditions.

At locations with burial depths between 4 and 6 feet, the measured magnetic field levels exceed the modeled values. The magnetic field levels at one such measurement site (Location H, the Aesthetic Surgery Center) are depicted in Figure 5. The highest measured magnetic field at Location H was 17.7 mG. In the presence of extraneous sources such as overhead distribution lines at this location, this value is comparable to the 15.1 mG predicted in the Application at average load.⁶ The shape of the measured profile, however, differs from both (1) the profile in the Application, and (2) the profile calculated for as-built burial depth, duct-spacing, and GCC location (see “calculated magnetic field” in Figure 5). This difference is most pronounced directly over the duct-bank centerline, but extends to distances of 25 feet or more from the underground cables. Some differences in shape can be explained by extraneous sources, which are noted in Figure 5, but the majority of the difference is likely due to imbalanced phase currents on one of the underground circuits.

The underground duct bank contains two circuits, designated 1522 and 1734. As noted above, calculations in this report assume balanced loading on the A, B, and C phases of each circuit. In the duct-bank cross-sections in Figure 5 and Appendix C, the 1522 circuit is depicted as the A1, B1, and C1 phases, and the 1734 circuit is depicted as the A2, B2, and C2 phases. Monitored loads recorded by CL&P and used in the calculated profiles are “circuit currents,” expressed in units of amperes. Under balanced conditions, the currents on each phase of circuits 1522 and 1734, respectively, are identical to the corresponding circuit current. The recorded current does not reflect any imbalance that might exist in the loading on the phases.

On March 4, 2009, after the magnetic field measurements presented in Figure 5 and Appendix C were performed, CL&P recorded the degree of imbalance on the 1522 and 1734 circuits with detailed metering. The 1522 circuit showed typical balanced operation, with all phase currents

⁶ The average-load case used in the Application was 134 MVA, or 336 amps on each cable. At the time of the measurements depicted in Figure 5, the average monitored cable current was 313 amps. Correcting only for the monitored load, the magnetic-field value predicted in the Application is 14.1 mG over the duct-bank centerline.

within 1.2% of the mean phase current. Measured phase currents for the 1734 circuit, however, were within 8.4% of the mean phase current and were imbalanced. Since both the 1522 and 1734 circuits connect through disconnects and breakers to identical buses at both the Glenbrook Substation and the Norwalk Substation, the two circuits should have the comparable currents on each phase.

When the 8.4% imbalance measured on the 1734 circuit on March 4, 2009 is taken into account in modeling the magnetic field, the calculated profile in Figure 5 (“calculated magnetic field with imbalance”) matches the measured profile more closely. In particular, the magnetic field levels above the duct-bank centerline match the observed shape, and calculated levels at 25 feet or more from the project route show the observed elevation. CL&P plans further measurements to determine the source of the imbalance on the 1734 circuit.

Magnetic Field, Monitoring Location H Aesthetic Surgery Center




Figure 5. Comparison of measured magnetic fields (Location H, the Aesthetic Surgery Center in Darien) with calculated values assuming as-built burial depth, duct spacing, GCC location, and monitored loads. In the profile labeled “calculated magnetic field,” balanced three-phase operation was assumed. In the profile labeled “calculated magnetic field with imbalance,” phase currents on the 1734 circuit were modeled with the imbalance observed for this line on March 4, 2009.

Imbalance is the most likely explanation for the elevated magnetic field levels observed at two other monitoring locations (Location I, Haven Health Center, and Location P, Riverside Cemetery), where the highest measured magnetic field level approached 32 mG. With the exception of Location H, the Aesthetic Surgery Center, and Location I, Haven Health Center, the differences between the measured and calculated magnetic fields were minimal at distances of 25 feet.

Despite efforts to take measurements free from extraneous sources, this frequently was not possible because of numerous visible and invisible sources (e.g., currents on other buried utilities). Appendix C notes the location of identified sources near each measurement profile location. In some locations, distribution lines not associated with the project cross the measurement profile. For instance, at the Hamilton Avenue Condominiums (Location C), overhead distribution sources on the east side of Hamilton Avenue are discernable as a magnetic field peak offset from the duct-bank centerline. In other locations, distribution lines run parallel

to the measurement profile, e.g., at Norwalk Hospital (Location L) where distribution lines cross Van Buren Avenue. In such cases, the magnetic field across the profile is elevated uniformly across a section of the profile.

In some measurement locations, the duct bank bends or changes depth in a manner that was not considered in the conceptual design described in the 2004 Application. For instance, at Location A (Hamilton Ave./Glenbrook Bus Stop) the duct bank widens and dips to accommodate underground utilities, and bends to run under Hamilton Ave. The assumption of a uniform cross-section that is necessary to compare the magnetic field measured at this location with the calculated value in the 2004 Application could not be met. Because of the underground source at this location, which runs above the installed 115-kV lines, the fields at this monitoring site were higher than those calculated with a typical trench design.

8.0 Conclusion

Because of the underground construction of the Glenbrook Cables project, the ambient levels of electric fields along the project route are not affected by operation of the cables. In addition, ambient levels of electric fields encountered in publicly accessible areas in the vicinity of the Glenbrook Substation or the Norwalk Substation do not vary appreciably from pre-construction levels.

Taking into account the effects of extraneous magnetic field sources, the project-related magnetic fields above uniform duct-bank sections are typically less than 20 mG under measured conditions. The measured magnetic field profiles in Appendix C agree with the pre-construction assessment, which predicted a centerline magnetic-field value of 15.1 mG based upon nominal load, duct spacing, and burial depth. As noted above, the actual loads recorded during the post-construction measurement period were within $\pm 35\%$ of the 134 MVA (average-load) case assumed for the assessment made in the Application.

At two monitoring locations (Location I, Haven Health Center, and Location P, Riverside Cemetery) the highest measured magnetic field level approached 32 mG, a value that could not be attributed solely to sources external to the Glenbrook Cables project. Unbalanced operation of one of the underground circuits was observed on March 4, 2009, and is likely responsible for the observed elevation of the measured profiles at these locations. With the exception of two monitoring location (Location H, the Aesthetic Surgery Center, and Location I, Haven Health Center), measured magnetic fields differed from the Application prediction minimally at distances of 25 feet. The project effect on ambient 60-Hz magnetic field levels at a distance of more than 70 feet from the duct-bank centerline is less than 1 mG under average-load conditions, a value within the range of typical background values in homes and workplaces. This correspondence with predictions was observed despite the likelihood of imbalance in one of the underground circuits.

Above splice vaults, measured magnetic fields were higher than were measured above duct-bank sections, as would be expected from the greater separation of phase conductors. The elevated magnetic fields above splice vaults are also comparable in magnitude and extent to the

elevated fields encountered along the project route from extraneous sources (see, for instance, the transmission-line source depicted in the longitudinal measurements in Appendix D).

In the roadways along the project route, the average (mean) magnetic field levels are elevated to approximately 8 mG under the measurement conditions, higher than the 2 mG mean levels measured before construction. Even in isolated regions, however, measurements along the project route do not show an increase in maximum magnetic field (see Table 1). These data indicate that extraneous sources such as transmission lines and distribution lines, where they approach the underground 115-kV lines, are the strongest magnetic field sources in roadways.

Appendix A

Council-Approved EMF Monitoring Plan


Appendix B

Map and Post-Construction Aerial Photographs of Monitoring Locations



Appendix C

Calculated and Measured Magnetic Fields at the Monitoring Locations


Measurement Site: Hamilton Ave./Glenbrook Bus Stop
Identifier: A
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 002
Segment: 1
Lat/Long meas. start: 41.06377N 73.52047W
Lat/Long meas. end: 41.06348N 73.52037W
Measurement Time: 12:30 PM February 11, 2009
Monitored Current: 430 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
395 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: 9 ft 6 inches
Duct bank section: B1 (see sheet 01230-46001 PG 001)
Notes: overhead distribution circuits on north side of Hamilton Ave.





Magnetic Field, Monitoring Location A Hamilton Ave./Glenbrook Bus Stop




Measurement Site: Titan Tots
Address: 126 Hamilton Avenue, Stamford
Identifier: B
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 003
Segment: 1
Lat/Long meas. start: 41.06390N 73.51857W
Lat/Long meas. end: 41.06358N 73.51855W
Measurement Time: 12:15 PM February 11, 2009
Monitored Current: 431 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
394 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: 6 feet (varies)
Duct bank section: none (Splice vaults 4001, 5001, 6201)
Notes: overhead distribution circuits on north side of Hamilton Ave.





Magnetic Field, Monitoring Location B Titan Tots Day Care




Measurement Site: Hamilton Ave. Condominiums
Identifier: C
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 005
Segment: 1
Lat/Long meas. start: 41.06313N 73.51147W
Lat/Long meas. end: 41.06322N 73.51102W
Measurement Time: 12:05 PM February 11, 2009
Monitored Current: 442A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
 407 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: 10 ft
Duct bank section: B2 (see sheet 01230-46001 PG 001C)
Notes: overhead distribution circuits on east side of Hamilton Ave., and crossing Hamilton Ave.





Magnetic Field, Monitoring Location C Hamilton Ave Condominiums




Measurement Site: Brookside Drive
Address: 57 Brookside Dr., Darien
Identifier: D
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 008
Segment: 1
Lat/Long meas. start: 41.06477N 73.50877W
Lat/Long meas. end: 41.06483N 73.50830W
Measurement Time: 12:50 PM December 10, 2009
Monitored Current: 346 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
318 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: 7 ft 6 inches
Duct bank section: L1 (see sheet 01230-46001 PG 001)
Notes: overhead distribution circuits on west side of Brookside Drive





Magnetic Field, Monitoring Location D Brookside Drive



Measurement Site: Stony Brook Court
Address: 50 Ledge Rd., Darien
Identifier: E
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 018
Segment: 1
Lat/Long meas. start: 41.07150N 73.48378W
Lat/Long meas. end: 41.07190N 73.48387W
Measurement Time: 12:27 PM December 10, 2009
Monitored Current: 320 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
294 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: 9 ft
Duct bank section: B2 (see sheet 01230-46001 PG 001)
Notes: overhead distribution circuits on north side of Ledge Rd.




Magnetic Field, Monitoring Location E Stony Brook Court



Measurement Site: Kings Highway Tennis Club
Address: 8 Old Kings Highway North, Darien
Identifier: F
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 027
Segment: 2
Lat/Long of reading: 41.07813N 73.46703W
Measurement Time: 10:45 AM December 10, 2009
Monitored Current: 366 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
336 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: varies, 4'6" – 10'
Duct bank section: varies, B1 (see sheet 01230-46001 PG 001)
Notes: this measurement location is more than 100 ft from the duct-bank route





Spot measurement
2.3 mG




Measurement Site: Parking Lot near Mechanic St.
Identifier: G
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 027
Segment: 2
Lat/Long meas. start: 41.07840N 73.46825W
Lat/Long meas. end: 41.07835N 73.46713W
Measurement Time: 10:37 AM December 10, 2008
Monitored Current: 366 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
336 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: 4 ft 6 inches
Duct bank section: B1 (see sheet 01230-46001 PG 001)
Notes:





Magnetic Field, Monitoring Location G parking lot near Mechanic St.



Measurement Site: Aesthetic Surgery Center
Address: 722 Boston Post Road, Darien
Identifier: H
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 028
Segment: 2
Lat/Long meas. start: 41.08017N 73.46763W
Lat/Long meas. end: 41.07977N 73.46688W
Measurement Time: 12:12 PM December 10, 2008
Monitored Current: 326 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
 300 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: 5 ft 6 inches
Duct bank section: B1 (see sheet 01230-46001 PG 001)
Notes: overhead distribution circuits on north side of Boston Post Rd., and crossing Boston Post Rd.





Magnetic Field, Monitoring Location H Aesthetic Surgery Center




Measurement Site: Haven Health Center
Address: 599 Boston Post Road, Darien
Identifier: I
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 029
Segment: 2
Lat/Long meas. start: 41.08233N 73.46518W
Lat/Long meas. end: 41.08203N 73.46463W
Measurement Time: 12:00 PM December 10, 2008
Monitored Current: 326 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
 300 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: 4 ft 6 inches
Duct bank section: B1 (see sheet 01230-46001 PG 001)
Notes: overhead distribution circuits on north side of Boston Post Rd., and crossing Boston Post Rd.





Magnetic Field, Monitoring Location I Haven Health Center




Measurement Site: Wal-Mart Parking Lot
Address: 680 Connecticut Avenue, Norwalk
Identifier: J
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 038
Segment: 2
Lat/Long meas. start: 41.09603N 73.44858W
Lat/Long meas. end: 41.09567N 73.44820W
Measurement Time: 8:33 AM December 10, 2008
Monitored Current: 406 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
372 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: varies 7.5 - 10 feet
Duct bank section: none (Splice vaults 4019, 5010, 6219)
Notes: overhead distribution circuits on north side of Connecticut Ave.





Magnetic Field, Monitoring Location J Wal-Mart parking lot




Measurement Site: Parking Lot near Jerry's Upholstery, Inc.
Address: 67 Connecticut Avenue, Norwalk
Identifier: K
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 049
Segment: 2
Lat/Long meas. start: 41.10693N 73.42238W
Lat/Long meas. end: 41.10747N 73.42265W
Measurement Time: 9:01 AM December 10, 2008
Monitored Current: 401 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
 367 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: 6 feet (varies)
Duct bank section: none (Splice vaults 4025, 5025, 6225)
Notes: overhead distribution circuits on south side of Connecticut Ave.





Magnetic Field, Monitoring Location K parking lot near Jerry's Upholstery, Inc.



Measurement Site: Norwalk Hospital
Address: 17 Maple Street, Norwalk
Identifier: L
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 051
Segment: 2
Lat/Long meas. start: 41.11167N 73.41923W
Lat/Long meas. end: 41.11168N 73.42032W
Measurement Time: 9:34 AM December 10, 2008
Monitored Current: 403 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
371 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: 12 ft 6 inches
Duct bank section: B3 (see sheet 01230-46001 PG 001)
Notes: overhead distribution circuits on east side of Van Buren Ave., and crossing Van Buren Ave.





Magnetic Field, Monitoring Location L Norwalk Hospital



Measurement Site: Jefferson Elementary School
Address: 75 Van Buren Avenue, Norwalk
Identifier: M
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 054
Segment: 2
Lat/Long meas. start: 41.11637N 73.42030W
Lat/Long meas. end: 41.11627N 73.42075W
Measurement Time: 9:23 AM December 10, 2008
Monitored Current: 403 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
371 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: 9 ft
Duct bank section: B2 (see sheet 01230-46001 PG 001)
Notes: overhead distribution circuits on west side of Van Buren Ave.




Magnetic Field, Monitoring Location M Jefferson Elementary School




Measurement Site: Church of Jesus Christ of LDS
Address: 11 Spring Hill Ave., Norwalk
Identifier: N
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 055
Segment: 2
Lati/long of reading: 41.11855N 73.42293W
Measurement Time: 1:36 PM December 10, 2008
Monitored Current: 345 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
317 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: varies, 6' – 10'
Duct bank section: varies, B2 (see sheet 01230-46001 PG 001)
Notes: site is located >200 feet from Project route



Spot measurement
3.2 mG





Measurement Site: **Riverside Cemetery**
Identifier: P
Sheet Reference: 01230-10001 PG 058
Segment: 2
Lat/Long meas. start: 41.12377N 73.42787W
Lat/Long meas. end: 41.12440N 73.42683W
Measurement Time: 9:55 AM December 10, 2008
Monitored Current: 394 A Circuit 1522 (at Norwalk substation)
 361 A Circuit 1734 (at Norwalk substation)
Burial depth: 4 ft 6 inches
Duct bank section: B1 (see sheet 01230-46001 PG 001)
Notes: overhead distribution circuits on east side of Van Buren Ave., and crossing Van Buren Ave.



Magnetic Field,

Distance from duct bank centerline (ft)

Magnetic field, Monitoring Location P Riverside Cemetery



Appendix D

Measured EMF Levels along the Underground Cable Route




Figure 6. Pre-construction measurements of magnetic fields along the project route.




Figure 7. Post-construction measurements of magnetic fields along the project route.

Appendix E

Measured EMF Levels at the Norwalk Substation and Glenbrook Substation




Figure 8. Measurement path along perimeter of the Norwalk Substation. The blue marker is the fence-line reference position for electric-field readings outside the substation perimeter in the vicinity of the cable risers.




Figure 9. Magnetic field resultant along perimeter of the Norwalk Substation. Pre-energized measurements were recorded on April 3, 2007 before the installation of the Middletown to Norwalk 345 kV circuits (shown in dark green) and post-energized measurements were recorded on April 15, 2009 after the installation of the Middletown to Norwalk 345 kV circuits (shown in light green).

Table 3 Summary of electric field levels (kV/m) measured in the vicinity of cable risers at the Norwalk Substation

Profile perpendicular to substation fence			Profile parallel to substation fence		
Distance from fence (ft)	Electric field resultant (kV/m)		Distance from reference (ft north)	Electric field resultant (kV/m)	
	Pre-energized	Post-energized		Pre-energized	Post-energized
1		0.04	45 *	0.23	0.13
2	0.05	0.04	40	0.21	0.20
4	0.04	0.05	35	0.17	0.11
6	0.04	0.05	30	0.15	0.11
8	0.05	0.06	25	0.12	0.11
10	0.05	0.07	20	0.09	0.09
12	0.06	0.08	15	0.07	0.08
14	0.08	0.09	10	0.09	0.07
16	0.07	0.10	5	0.09	0.06
18	0.08	0.10	0	0.09	0.06
20	0.10	0.11	-5	0.09	0.05
22	0.10	0.12	-10	0.06	0.05
24	0.08	0.12	-15	0.06	0.05
			-20	0.05	0.05
			-25	0.05	0.05
			-30	0.06	0.05
			-35	0.08	0.05
			-40	0.08	0.06
			-45	0.09	0.07
			-50 *	0.10	0.09

* reading within 20 feet of overhead conductors




Figure 10. Measurement path along perimeter of the Glenbrook Substation. The blue marker is the fence-line reference position for electric-field readings outside the substation perimeter in the vicinity of the cable risers.




Figure 11. Magnetic Field measurements along perimeter of the Glenbrook Substation. Pre-energized measurements were recorded on April 3, 2007 and post-energized measurements were recorded on April 15, 2009.

Table 4 Summary of electric field levels (kV/m) measured in the vicinity of cable risers at the Glenbrook Substation

Profile perpendicular to substation fence			Profile parallel to substation fence		
Distance from fence (ft)	Electric field resultant (kV/m)		Distance from reference (ft west)	Electric field resultant (kV/m)	
	Pre-energized	Post-energized		Pre-energized	Post-energized
4	0.02	0.06	-30	0.02	0.09
8	0.04	0.08	-25	0.02	0.07
12	0.07	0.08	-20	0.04	0.10
16	0.05	0.12	-15	0.05	0.11
20	0.02	0.08	-10	0.04	0.12
24	0.02	0.09	-5	0.02	0.12
28	0.01	0.08	0	0.01	0.11
30	0.01	0.09	5	0.02	0.10
			10	0.03	0.11
			15	0.04	0.11
			20	0.02	0.11
			25	0.05	0.11
			30	0.10	0.09
			35	0.10	0.09
			40	0.11	0.09
			45	0.11	0.10
			-50	0.09	0.07

Appendix F References

Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE). 1990. IEEE Guide for the Design, Construction, and Operation of Safe and Reliable Substations for Environmental Acceptance. IEEE Standard 1127-1990.

Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE). IEEE standard procedures for measurement of power frequency electric and magnetic fields from AC power lines. IEEE Standard 644-1994 (Revision of IEEE Standard 644-1987), 1994a.

Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE). IEEE recommended practice for instrumentation: specifications for magnetic flux density and electric field strength meters-10 Hz to 3 kHz. IEEE Standard 1308-1994, 1994b.