

Needham, MA

Trends over Time

2016



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2016 ratings for the Town of Needham to its previous survey results in 2008, 2010, 2012, and 2014. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

Trend data for Needham represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being "higher" or "lower" if the differences are greater than six percentage points between the 2014 and 2016 surveys, otherwise the comparison between 2014 and 2016 are noted as being "similar." Additionally, benchmark comparisons for all survey years are presented for reference. Changes in the benchmark comparison over time can be impacted by various trends, including varying survey cycles for the individual communities that comprise the benchmarks, regional and national economic or other events, as well as emerging survey methodologies.

Overall, ratings in Needham for 2016 generally remained stable. Of the 129 items for which comparisons were available, 113 items were rated similarly in 2014 and 2016, 5 items showed a decrease in ratings and 11 showed an increase in ratings. Notable trends over time included the following:

- Ratings for several aspects within the pillar of Community Characteristics increased from 2014 to 2016.
 Ratings increased for public parking, employment opportunities, Needham as a place to work, adult education and cultural, arts and music activities. Ratings decreased from 2014 to 2016 for the openness and acceptance of the community.
- Within the pillar of Governance, ratings decreased for the job the Town does at welcoming citizen
 involvement and land use, zoning and planning. Ratings increased from 2014 to 2016 for storm drainage,
 street lighting, snow removal, sidewalk maintenance and bus or transit services.
- Overall, most rates of Participation remained stable from 2014 to 2016. More Needham residents reported
 that they had used the Town's recreation centers, while fewer reported that the economy will have a positive
 impact on their incomes in the next six months and that they read or watched local news.

Table 1: Community Characteristics General

	Percer	nt rating po	sitively (e.g	., excellent	/good)			Compariso	n to benchmark		
	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2016 rating compared to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016
Overall quality of life	91%	96%	97%	93%	94%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher
Overall image	89%	91%	95%	91%	95%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
Place to live	96%	98%	97%	96%	98%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
Neighborhood	89%	94%	93%	90%	93%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
Place to raise children	94%	97%	97%	95%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
Place to retire	51%	57%	59%	51%	54%	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Overall appearance	73%	83%	85%	88%	90%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher

Table 2: Community Characteristics by Facet

		Percer	٠.	sitively (e.g /somewhat	j., excellent safe)	t/good,	2016 rating		Compar	ison to bend	chmark	
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	compared to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016
	Overall feeling of safety	NA	NA	NA	97%	98%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Much higher
	Safe in neighborhood	99%	99%	99%	96%	99%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	98%	99%	98%	96%	98%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher
	Overall ease of travel	NA	NA	NA	88%	89%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Higher
	Paths and walking trails	44%	50%	57%	61%	64%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower	Similar	Similar
	Ease of walking	76%	81%	83%	84%	85%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Travel by bicycle	50%	59%	60%	55%	55%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Travel by public transportation	78%	77%	72%	51%	54%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Travel by car	75%	78%	79%	75%	76%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Public parking	NA	NA	NA	55%	64%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Mobility	Traffic flow	48%	48%	51%	58%	52%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Overall natural environment	72%	81%	84%	86%	83%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Cleanliness	78%	85%	89%	89%	91%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
Natural Environment	Air quality	NA	86%	85%	91%	91%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Overall built environment	NA	NA	NA	70%	67%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Built Environment	New development in Needham	51%	65%	60%	52%	49%	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar

		Percer		sitively (e.g	g., excellent safe)	t/good,	2016 rating		Compar	rison to bend	chmark	
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	compared to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016
	Affordable quality housing	22%	29%	25%	25%	19%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Housing options	40%	47%	45%	42%	38%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Public places	NA	NA	NA	67%	73%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Overall economic health	NA	NA	NA	84%	87%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	NA	NA	NA	47%	51%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Business and services	63%	65%	66%	63%	68%	Similar	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Cost of living	NA	NA	NA	28%	30%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Shopping opportunities	30%	36%	36%	39%	44%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Similar
	Employment opportunities	34%	36%	43%	37%	52%	Higher	Much higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher
	Place to visit	NA	NA	NA	66%	68%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Economy	Place to work	72%	75%	79%	65%	77%	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher
	Health and wellness	NA	NA	NA	83%	84%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Higher
	Mental health care	NA	NA	NA	65%	68%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher
	Preventive health services	NA	77%	78%	82%	85%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Health care	71%	76%	79%	82%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Food	NA	72%	70%	72%	73%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
Recreation and	Recreational opportunities	65%	71%	67%	66%	71%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Wellness	Fitness opportunities	NA	NA	NA	66%	67%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Religious or spiritual events and activities	87%	90%	92%	88%	90%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Cultural/arts/music activities	42%	47%	53%	62%	69%	Higher	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Adult education	NA	NA	NA	74%	83%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Higher
	K-12 education	91%	89%	93%	91%	93%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
Education and Enrichment	Child care/preschool	44%	52%	51%	62%	63%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
Community	Social events and activities	67%	73%	75%	69%	71%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
Engagement	Neighborliness	NA	NA	NA	78%	75%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar

	Percen	t rating pos very/	sitively (e.g somewhat		t/good,	2016 rating		Compar	ison to benc	hmark	
	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	compared to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016
Openness and acceptance	69%	71%	71%	71%	63%	Lower	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
Opportunities to participate in community matters	78%	78%	83%	79%	79%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
Opportunities to volunteer	85%	86%	87%	79%	79%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar

Table 3: Governance General

			iting positi		,						
		ex	cellent/go	od)		2016 rating compared to		Compariso	n to benchmar	'K	
	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016
Services provided by Needham	85%	89%	90%	85%	90%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
Customer service	86%	84%	84%	84%	89%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
Value of services for taxes paid	62%	61%	67%	65%	65%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
Overall direction	60%	65%	70%	67%	62%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
Welcoming citizen involvement	62%	63%	67%	63%	57%	Lower	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
Confidence in Town government	NA	NA	NA	65%	65%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Acting in the best interest of Needham	NA	NA	NA	69%	68%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Being honest	NA	NA	NA	72%	72%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Higher
Treating all residents fairly	NA	NA	NA	69%	66%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Services provided by the Federal Government	43%	50%	55%	50%	52%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar

Table 4: Governance by Facet

		F		ting posit cellent/go	ively (e.g. od)	.,	2016 rating compared to		Compariso	n to benchma	nrk	
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016
	Police	95%	96%	94%	92%	94%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Fire	98%	99%	98%	94%	98%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher
Safety	Ambulance/EMS	98%	97%	98%	95%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher

·			Percent ra	iting posit cellent/go	ively (e.g.	٠,	2016 rating compared to		Compariso	n to benchma	ark	
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016
	Crime prevention	94%	91%	93%	91%	93%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Fire prevention	92%	92%	92%	88%	88%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher
	Animal control	79%	78%	77%	79%	77%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher
	Emergency preparedness	83%	87%	80%	75%	77%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Traffic enforcement	76%	80%	77%	77%	78%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Street repair	32%	39%	52%	43%	46%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Street cleaning	55%	61%	73%	64%	69%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Street lighting	56%	66%	70%	60%	67%	Higher	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Snow removal	63%	63%	72%	65%	76%	Higher	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Sidewalk maintenance	41%	46%	51%	44%	54%	Higher	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Traffic signal timing	56%	57%	55%	61%	62%	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Mobility	Bus or transit services	NA	59%	60%	58%	65%	Higher	NA	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Recycling	84%	84%	82%	80%	82%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Drinking water	83%	89%	89%	85%	90%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Natural areas preservation	60%	64%	70%	64%	60%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
Natural Environment	Open space	NA	NA	NA	63%	60%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Storm drainage	70%	67%	77%	66%	80%	Higher	Much higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Sewer services	83%	86%	92%	88%	90%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Land use, planning and zoning	47%	49%	51%	50%	41%	Lower	Higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
Built Environment	Cable television	77%	76%	78%	73%	76%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher

				iting posit cellent/go	ively (e.g. od)	.,	2016 rating compared to		Compariso	on to benchma	ark	
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016
Economy	Economic development	52%	50%	52%	60%	63%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Town parks	78%	88%	89%	82%	86%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Recreation programs	79%	86%	86%	77%	82%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Recreation centers	NA	68%	65%	69%	72%	Similar	NA	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar
Recreation and Wellness	Health services	83%	85%	89%	87%	88%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Special events	NA	NA	NA	76%	78%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Education and Enrichment	Public libraries	94%	93%	94%	90%	93%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher
Community Engagement	Public information	81%	81%	82%	80%	82%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar

Table 5: Participation General

	Percent rati	ing positively (e	.g., always/sor	netimes, more	than once a						
			month, yes)			2016 rating compared to		Compariso	on to benchma	ark	
	2008	2010	2012 2014 2016			2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016
							Much	Much	Much		
Sense of community	81%	84%	87%	85%	83%	Similar	higher	higher	higher	Higher	Higher
							Much	Much	Much		
Recommend Needham	93%	96%	97%	94%	96%	Similar	higher	higher	higher	Similar	Higher
							Much	Much	Much		
Remain in Needham	90%	90%	91%	89%	91%	Similar	higher	higher	higher	Similar	Similar
Contacted Needham									Much		
employees	74%	60%	61%	58%	59%	Similar	NA	Higher	higher	Similar	Higher

Table 6: Participation by Facet

		Percent ra	ating positive	ely (e.g., alw	vays/sometir	nes, more						
			than o	once a montl	h, yes)		2016 rating		Comp	arison to be	nchmark	
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	compared to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016
	Stocked supplies for an emergency	NA	NA	NA	35%	30%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Did NOT report a crime	NA	NA	NA	91%	89%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher
Safety	Was NOT the victim of a crime	98%	96%	94%	95%	94%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Used public transportation instead of driving	NA	NA	NA	50%	53%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Much higher
Mobility	Carpooled instead of driving alone	NA	NA	NA	47%	45%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar

The National Citizen Survey $\mbox{^{TM}}$

		Percent r		ely (e.g., alv once a mont		mes, more	2016 rating		Comp	arison to be	nchmark	
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	compared to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016
	Walked or biked instead of driving	NA	NA	NA	73%	69%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher
	Conserved water	NA	NA	NA	88%	86%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Made home more energy efficient	NA	NA	NA	77%	80%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Natural Environment	Recycled at home	94%	89%	89%	95%	95%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar
	Did NOT observe a code violation	NA	NA	NA	69%	74%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Much higher
Built Environment	NOT under housing cost stress	70%	74%	71%	74%	75%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Purchased goods or services in Needham	NA	NA	NA	97%	98%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Economy will have positive impact on income	7%	15%	17%	34%	28%	Lower	NA	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar
Economy	Work in Needham	NA	NA	NA	35%	36%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
,	Used Needham recreation centers	NA	NA	NA	55%	64%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Visited a Town park	85%	84%	82%	80%	80%	Similar	NA	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar
	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	NA	NA	NA	88%	84%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Recreation and	Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	NA	NA	NA	87%	88%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Wellness	In very good to excellent health	NA	NA	NA	77%	74%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Used Needham public libraries	88%	86%	88%	83%	85%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	59%	56%	59%	56%	51%	Similar	NA	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
Education and Enrichment	Attended a Town-sponsored event	NA	NA	NA	62%	62%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar
	Campaigned for an issue, cause											
	or candidate	NA	NA	NA	29%	25%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Contacted Needham elected officials	NA	NA	NA	22%	21%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Volunteered	51%	51%	55%	50%	47%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Participated in a club	37%	39%	38%	36%	31%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar
Community Engagement	Talked to or visited with neighbors	NA	NA	NA	95%	93%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar

	Percent ra	ating positive than c	ely (e.g., alw once a montl		nes, more	2016 rating		Comp	arison to be	nchmark	
	2008	2010	2016	compared to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016		
Done a favor for a neighbor	NA						NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Attended a local public meeting	35%						NA	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar
Watched a local public meeting	51%	46%	38%	31%	26%	Similar	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Read or watched local news	NA	NA	NA	90%	84%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Voted in local elections	89%	90%	82%	87%	87%	Similar	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar